

Exhibit B

From: Afraaz Irani <afraaz.irani@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2012 3:47 PM
To: John Eady
Cc: David Rothstein
Subject: RE: Additional item

Dr. Eady,

Thank you for your response. I went ahead and delayed the grievance council, so that the RRC can start rustling some feathers before the meeting. You raise a good point about resident supervision. I was curious. Are inpatients required to be seen by an attending every day they are in the hospital? It is not uncommon for post-op patients to have surgery and then not ever checked on by an attending (or maybe checked once) during the hospital stay, and otherwise only seen by resident every day. Is that legal? Is that OK by RRC guidelines? This is something I was wondering, but had no idea what proper care is.

It sounds like we need to add some stuff to the document, beefing up and bringing more to the forefront the improper resident supervision and examples of that.

Thank you,
 Afraaz

Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2012 12:45:48 -0700
 From: jl_eady_98@yahoo.com
 Subject: Re: Additional item
 To: afraaz.irani@hotmail.com
 CC: DERothstein@mindspring.com

Afraaz,

I will happily share what I have concerning lack of resident oversight by K/VW with the RRC if they request it. You need to also keep your stuff handy. I have recently contacted the RRC about the rumored elimination of the Orthopaedic resident rotation at the VA but was told a resident or residents had to raise this issue before they would investigate. Therefore, your raising it will affect their inertia. You must clear the following with Mr. Rothstein but one way of showing K/W have little basis on which to judge you is with proof that they don't do their duty of resident supervision and are depending on second hand (Wood) data instead of first hand observations. I don't know if the GMCC will delay the hearing but getting it delayed would seem to me to be a help for you. However, you must get Mr. Rothstein's advice about this as I really don't know. JLE

From: Afraaz Irani <afraaz.irani@hotmail.com>
To: John Eady <jl_eady_98@yahoo.com>
Cc: David Rothstein <derothstein@mindspring.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 1, 2012 1:11 PM
Subject: RE: Additional item

Dr. Eady,

Thank you again for those very helpful comments. The write-up at the time of my last draft focused on K, but the violators spread beyond that.